## Lecture 1: dot product, length and angle

Shengkui Ye

January 30, 2023

#### 1 Orthogonal basis and projections

For two vectors  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we already know that the dot product  $x \circ y = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \cdots + x_ny_n = x^T y$ . The length of the vector x is  $\|x\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$ . The angle between nonzero vectors x, y is  $\angle(x, y) = \arccos \frac{x \cdot \overline{y}}{\Vert x \Vert \Vert y \Vert}$ .

**Definition 1** An orthogonal basis  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a basis such that any two distinct elements  $u, v \in S$  are orthogonal.

**Lemma 2** Let  $S = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  be an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Any element  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear combination

$$
x = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_nv_n
$$

with  $a_i = \frac{x \circ v_i}{\|v_i\|^2}$  for each i.

**Proof.** Note that  $x \circ v_i = a_i v_i \circ v_i$ .

**Lemma 3** Let H be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Any element  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is writen uniquely as  $x = x_1 + x_2$  with  $x_1 \in H$  and  $x_2 \in H^{\perp}$ . The  $x_1$  is called the projection of x onto H, denoted by  $proj_H(x)$ .

**Proof.** For the existence, let  $x_1 \in H$  be a vector such that  $||x - x_1|| =$  $\inf_{y \in H} ||x - y||$ . Choose  $x_2 = x - x_1$ . By properties of triangles, we know that  $x - x_1$  is orthogonal to  $x_1$ . The existence can also be proved by assuming that H has an orthogonal basis (saying  $\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$ ) which can be extended to be an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x \circ v_i}{\|v_i\|^2} v_i$  by the previous lemma.

If  $x = x_1' + x_2'$  with  $x_1' \in H$  and  $x_2' \in H^{\perp}$ , then  $x_1 - x_1' = x_2' - x_2 \in H \cap H^{\perp}$  $\{0\}$ .

If H is spanned by a nonzero vector u, then  $x_1 = ku$  for some k. Then  $x \circ u = (x_1 + x_2) \circ u = ku \circ u$  and thus  $k = \frac{x \circ u}{u \circ u}$ .

**Example 4** Let  $x = [7, 6]^T$  and  $u = [4, 2]^T$ . Find  $proj_u(x)$ .

A set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is orthonormal if  $v_i \circ v_i = 1$  and  $v_i \circ v_j = 0$  for any  $i \neq j.$ 

**Example 5** Show that  $\{(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^T, (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^T\}$  is orthonormal.

**Lemma 6** An  $m \times n$  matrix U has orthonormal columns if and only if  $U^T U = I$ . An orthogonal matrix A is a square invertible matrix A such that  $A^T A = I$ .

**Lemma 7** Let U be an  $m \times n$  matrix with orthonormal columns, and let  $x, y \in$  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

a)  $||Ux|| = ||x||;$ b)  $Ux \circ Uy = x \circ y;$ c)  $Ux \circ Uy = 0$  if and only  $x \circ y = 0$ .

**Lemma 8** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear map. Suppose that f is distancepreserving, i.e.  $||f(x) - f(y)|| = ||x - y||$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then f is anglepreserving, i.e.  $\measuredangle(f(x), f(y)) = \measuredangle(x, y)$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;

**Proof.** Suppose that f is distance-preserving. We have  $||f(x)|| = ||x||$  and  $|| f(x + y) || = ||x + y||$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . But this implies that

$$
||f(x + y)||^2 = ||f(x) + f(y)||^2 = (f(x) + f(y)) \circ (f(x) + f(y))
$$
  
=  $f(x) \circ f(x) + f(y) \circ f(y) + 2f(x) \circ f(y)$   
=  $||x + y||^2$   
=  $x \circ x + y \circ y + 2x \circ y$ 

and  $f(x) \circ f(y) = x \circ y$ . Note that  $\cos \angle(x, y) = \frac{x \circ y}{\|x\| \|y\|} = \frac{f(x) \circ f(y)}{\|f(x)\| \|f(y)\|}$  $\cos \angle(f(x), f(y))$ , which gives  $\angle(f(x), f(y)) = \angle(x, y)$ .

**Lemma 9** A linear map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is distance-preserving if and only if the standard representation matrix  $A_f$  of f is orthogonal.

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary vector. If A is orthogonal, we have  $||f(x)||^2$  =  $||Ax||^2 = Ax \circ Ax = (Ax)^T Ax = x^T (A^T A)x = x \circ x = ||x||^2$ . Therefore, f is distance-preserving.

Suppose that  $f$  is distance-preserving. The proof of the previous lemma shows that  $f(x) \circ f(y) = x \circ y$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Choose  $x, y \in \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ , the standard basis, to get that  $f(e_i) \circ f(e_i) = e_i^T A^T A e_j = e_i \circ e_j$ , which is the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $A^T A$ . Therefore,  $A^T A = I_n$ .

**Example 10** Show that 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 is orthogonal.

**Theorem 11** (Orthogonal decomposition theorem) Let W be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then each element x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a sum  $\hat{x} + z$  with  $\hat{x} \in W$  and  $z \in W<sup>\perp</sup>$ . In fact, if  ${u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_p}$  is any orthogonal basis of W, then

$$
\hat{x} = \frac{x \circ u_1}{u_1 \circ u_1} u_1 + \frac{x \circ u_2}{u_2 \circ u_2} u_2 + \dots + \frac{x \circ u_p}{u_p \circ u_p} u_p
$$

and  $z = x - \hat{x}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p\}$  be an orthogonal basis of W. Extend this set to be a basis  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The proof is finished.

**Corollary 12** If  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p\}$  is any orthonormal basis of W, then the projection of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto W is

$$
\hat{x} = U U^T x
$$

where  $U = [u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_p].$ 

Example 13 Let  $u_1 =$  $\sqrt{2}$ 4 2 5  $^{-1}$ 3  $\Big\}$ ,  $u_2 =$  $\sqrt{2}$ 4  $\frac{-2}{2}$ 1 1 3  $\int$  and  $y =$  $\sqrt{2}$ 4 1 2 3 3  $\big|$ . Show that  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is

an orthogonal basis for  $W = \text{Span}\{u_1, u_2\}$ . Write y as a sum of a vector in W and a vector in the orthogonal complement of W:

#### 2 The Gram-Schmidt process

Example 14 Let  $u_1 =$  $\sqrt{2}$ 4 3 6 0 3  $\Big\}$ ,  $u_2 =$  $\sqrt{2}$ 4 1 2 2 3 | and  $W = \text{Span}\{u_1, u_2\}$ . Find an or-

thogonal basis of W:

**Proof.** Take  $\{u_1, u_2 - \frac{u_2 \circ u_1}{u_1 \circ u_1} u_1\}.$ 

**Theorem 15** (Gram-Schmidt process) Given a basis  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p\}$  for a nonzero subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define

$$
v_1 = x_1,
$$
  
\n
$$
v_2 = x_2 - \frac{x_2 \circ v_1}{v_1 \circ v_1} v_1, \dots,
$$
  
\n
$$
v_p = x_p - \frac{x_p \circ v_1}{v_1 \circ v_1} v_1 - \frac{x_p \circ v_2}{v_2 \circ v_2} v_2 - \dots - \frac{x_p \circ v_{p-1}}{v_{p-1} \circ v_{p-1}} v_{p-1}.
$$

Then  $\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_p\}$  is an orthogonal basis for W. Moreover,

$$
\text{Span}\{v_1, \cdots, v_k\} = \text{Span}\{x_1, \cdots, x_k\}, \text{for each } k \leq p.
$$

**Proof.** Inductively, we assume that  $\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\} = \text{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}.$ Since  $x_k = v_k + z_{k-1}$  for a vector  $z_{k-1} \in \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ , we see that  $x_k \in$  $\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k\}$  and similarly  $v_k \in \text{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k\}.$ 

**Example 16** Let 
$$
x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
,  $x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $x_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find an orthonormal basis

of  $\text{Span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}.$ 

**Corollary 17** (QR factorization) Let A be an invertible matrix. Then  $A = QR$ for an orthogonal matrix  $Q$  and an upper triangular matrix  $R$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . The Gram-Schmidt process produces a matrix  $P = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]$ . Note that  $A = PS$  for a strictly upper triangular matrix S. Let  $D = \text{diag}(v_1 \circ v_1, v_2 \circ v_2, \cdots, v_n \circ v_n)$  be the diagonal matrix, and  $Q =$  $\left[\frac{v_1}{v_1 \circ v_1}, \frac{v_2}{v_2 \circ v_2}, \cdots, \frac{v_n}{v_n \circ v_n}\right]$ . Therefore, we have  $A = (PD^{-1})DS = QR$ , with  $R =$ DS. Note that  $QQ^T = I_n$ .

#### 3 Least-square problem

For a matrix  $A_{m\times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we know that  $Ax = b$  may not have a solution. An element  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a least-square problem for  $Ax = b$  if

$$
\|b - Ax_0\| \le \|b - Ax\|
$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 18** A vector  $x_0$  is a least-square solution of  $Ax = b$  if and only if  $A^T A x_0 = A^T b.$ 

**Proof.** Denote by  $Ax_0$  the projection of b onto the column space  $Col(A)$ . By the orthogonal decomposition theorem,  $b - Ax_0 \in Col(A)^{\perp} = Null^{T}$ . Therefore,  $A^T(b - Ax_0) = 0$  and  $A^T Ax_0 = A^T b$ . Conversely, when  $A^T Ax_0 = A^T b$ , we have  $A^T(b - Ax_0) = 0$  and  $b - Ax_0 = \text{Nul}A^T = \text{Col}(A)^{\perp}$ . This implies that  $||b - Ax_0|| \le ||b - Ax||$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Corollary 19**  $Ax = b$  has a unique least-square solution if and only if the columns of A are linearly independent.

**Proof.** By the previous theorem, it's enough to prove that  $A<sup>T</sup>A$  is invertible if and only if the columns of A are linearly independent. When  $A<sup>T</sup>A$  is invertible,  $n = rank(A<sup>T</sup>A) \le rank(A)$ . Therefore, the columns of A are linearly independent. Conversely, when the columns of A are linearly independent,  $Ax = 0$  has only the trivial solution  $x = 0$ . If  $A^T A x = 0$ , then  $0 = x^T A^T A x = (Ax)^T (Ax)$ , which implies that  $Ax = 0$  and thus  $x = 0$ . Therefore,  $A<sup>T</sup>A$  is invertible.

**Example 20** Find a least-square solution for  $Ax = b$ , where

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

## Lecture 2: inner product, length and angle

Shengkui Ye

February 13, 2023

#### 1 Inner product: definitions

The following are generalizations of the dot product.

**Definition 1** An inner product  $\langle, \rangle$  on a real vector space V is a function  $\langle, \rangle$ :  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- 1.  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$  for any  $v, u \in V$ ;
- 2.  $\langle v, a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 \rangle = a_1 \langle v, u_1 \rangle + a_2 \langle v, u_2 \rangle$  for any  $u_1, u_2, v \in V$  and any  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- 3.  $\langle u, u \rangle > 0$  and  $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $u = 0$ .

If the function  $\langle , \rangle$  satisfies only condition 1) and 2), we call  $\langle , \rangle$  a symmetric bilinear form.

**Remark 2** Sometimes, the inner product is defined on complex vector spaces by replacing  $\mathbb R$  with  $\mathbb C$  and the condition 1) is  $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$ , the complex conjugation.

**Example 3**  $\langle u, v \rangle = u \circ v$  is an inner product on  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Example 4** A matrix  $A_{n \times n}$  is called symmetric if  $A^T = A$ . The function  $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y$  is a symmetric bilinear form. If A is diagonal with positive diagonal entries, then  $\langle, \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Example 5** Let  $V = M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  (the vector space of all  $m \times n$  real matrices). The function  $\langle x, y \rangle = Trace(x^T y)$  is an inner product on V.

**Example 6** Let  $C[a, b]$  be the set of all continuous functions on the closed interval [a, b]. Then  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f g \, dx$  is an inner product.

We denote  $||x|| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \geq 0$  as the length of  $x \in V$ . For two vectors  $x, y \in V$ , the distance  $d(x, y) = ||x - y||$ . Two vectors  $x, y$  are orthogonal if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0.$ 

**Lemma 7** (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality) For any  $x, y \in V$ , we have  $\langle x, y \rangle \leq$  $||x|| ||y||$ . Furthermore, we have  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ .

**Proof.** For any real number t, we have  $0 \leq \langle tx+y, tx+y \rangle = t^2 \langle x, x \rangle + 2t \langle x, y \rangle +$  $\langle y, y \rangle$ . Therefore,  $4\langle x, y \rangle^2 - 4\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle \leq 0$  and thus  $\langle x, y \rangle \leq ||x|| ||y||$ .

Note that

$$
||x + y||2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle
$$
  
 
$$
\leq \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + 2||x|| ||y|| = (||x|| + ||y||)2.
$$

When  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the law of cosine gives that

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\|x - y\|^2 & = & \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2\|x\| \|y\| \cos \phi, \\
\langle x, y \rangle & = & \|x\| \|y\| \cos \phi,\n\end{array}
$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle between vector x and y. In general inner-product space, if  $\langle x, y \rangle = ||x|| ||y|| \cos \phi$ , we still view  $\phi \in [0, \pi)$  as an angle between x and y. In particular, when  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ , we call  $x, y$  are orthogonal. Using these general concepts, we can still talk about orthogonal, orthnormal basis and do Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process.

**Lemma 8** Let  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of an inner product space V: We have

$$
\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2.
$$

**Definition 9** Let V be a subspace of an inner product space  $(W, \langle, \rangle)$  (i.e. W is a real vector space together with an inner product  $\langle , \rangle$ . The orthogonal complement  $V^{\perp} = \{x \in W \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}.$ 

**Lemma 10** Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix.

1) For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $x \circ Ay = A^T x \circ y$ . 2) Then  $(ColA)^{\perp} = NullA^{T}$ ,  $(RowA)^{\perp} = NullA$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $x \circ Ay = x^T A y = (A^T x)^T y = (A^T x) \circ y$ . 2) follows 1): for any  $x \in \text{Nul}A^T$  we have  $A^T x = 0$  and thus  $x \circ Ay = 0$  for any y, which proves that  $x \in (ColA)^{\perp}$ . On the other hand, for any  $x \in (ColA)^{\perp}$  we have  $x \circ Ay = 0$ for any y. But  $A^T x \circ y = 0$  for any y, which implies that  $A^T x = 0$  by choosing y in a basis.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Lemma 11** 1) The orthgonal complement  $V^{\perp}$  is a vector subspace of W. 2)  $W = V \bigoplus V^{\perp}$ , the direct sum. 3)  $(V^{\perp})^{\perp} = V.$ 

**Proof.** 1) For any  $x, y \in V^{\perp}$ , we have  $\langle ax + by, v \rangle = a \langle x, v \rangle + b \langle y, z \rangle = 0$  for any  $v \in V$  and arbitrary  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . This shows that  $ax + by \in V^{\perp}$ .

2) Choose a basis  $B$  for  $V$  and extend this set to be a basis  $C$  of  $W$ . Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to get an orthogonal basis  $S$  of  $W$ . Each element  $x \in W$  is a linear combination

$$
x = \sum_{s \in S} a_s s = \sum_{s \in S \cap V} a_s s + \sum_{s \in S \backslash V} a_s s \in V + V^\perp.
$$

It is enough to show that  $V \cap V^{\perp} = \{0\}$ . Actually, any  $x \in V \cap V^{\perp}$  has  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ implying  $x = 0$ .

3) Since any  $v \in V$  is orthogonal to any  $x \in V^{\perp}$ , we have  $V \subset (V^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . If there is  $x \in (V^{\perp})^{\perp} \backslash V$ , we have

$$
x=\sum_{s\in S}a_{s}s=\sum_{s\in S\cap V}a_{s}s+\sum_{s\in S\backslash V}a_{s}s,
$$

with  $\sum_{s\in S\setminus V} a_s s \neq 0$ , where S is an orthogonal basis as in 1). However,  $\langle x, \sum_{s \in S \setminus V} a_s s \rangle = \langle \sum_{s \in S \setminus V} a_s s, \sum_{s \in S \setminus V} a_s s \rangle > 0$ , a contradiction to the fact that x is orthogonal to  $V^{\perp}$ .

### 2 Inner products and matrices

For a complex matrix  $A_{n\times m}$ , its conjugate transpose is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $A^* =$  $(\bar{a}_{ji})$ , where  $\bar{a}_{ji} = a - b\mathbf{i}$  (complex onjugate) if  $a_{ji} = a + b\mathbf{i}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . A square complex matrix A is called Hermitian (or self-adjoint) if  $A = A^*$ . Note that real Hermitian matrix is symmetric.

**Lemma 12** Let  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  be an inner product space of dimension n. There is a Hermitian matrix  $A_{n\times n}$  such that  $\langle x,y \rangle = x^T A y$  (or  $\langle x,y \rangle = x^* A y$  when the ground field is  $\mathbb{C}$ ) for any  $x, y \in V$ .

**Proof.** Choose a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ . Let  $A = (\langle e_i, e_j \rangle)_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ . For any  $x = \sum x_i e_i, y = \sum y_i e_i$ , we have  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum x_i y_i \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = x^T A y$  (or  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum x_i e_j$ .)  $x_i e_i, y = \sum y_i e_i$ , we have  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum x_i y_j \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = x^T A y$  (or  $\langle x, y \rangle =$  $\sum \bar{x}_i y_j \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = x^* Ay$ . By the definition of inner products, we have  $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle =$  $\langle e_j, e_i \rangle$ .

In the above lemma, we actually assume that  $x$  is the same as its coordinate vector with respect to the basis. We call the matrix A the representation matrix of the inner product with respect to the basis  $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ .

**Lemma 13** Let  $(V = \mathbb{F}^n, \langle, \rangle)$  be an inner product space for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , with a representation matrix A (with respect to the standard basis). A set  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis if and only  $[v_1, v_2, ..., v_n]^* A [v_1, v_2, ..., v_n] = A.$ 

When the inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the standard one (i.e.  $A = I_n$ ), we have that a set  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis if and only if  $[v_1, v_2, ..., v_n]^* [v_1, v_2, ..., v_n] =$  $I_n$ . We call a square complex matrix B unitary if  $B^*B = I_n$ . Note that a real unitary matrix is orthogonal.

**Theorem 14** Let  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  be a complex inner product space of dimension n. For any complex  $n \times n$  matrix A, there is an orthogonal basis  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  of V such that the representation matrix of A is upper triangular.

**Proof.** By the Jordan canonical theorem, there is an invertible matrix  $P$  and an upper triangular matrix U such that  $A = PUP^{-1}$ . Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization to get a  $QR$ -decomposition  $P = QR$ . Then  $A = QRUR^{-1}Q^{-1}$ . Note that  $RUR^{-1}$  is upper triangular and the columns of  $Q$  are orthogonal.

**Corollary 15** *(Schur's theorem)* For any matrix  $A_{n \times n}$ , there is a unitary matrix P such that  $PAP^{-1} = PAP^*$  is upper triangular. In other words, any square complex matrix is conjugate to an upper triangular matrix by a unitary matrix.

**Proof.** Consider the standard inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*y$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and apply the previous theorem.

**Lemma 16** (Riesz representation theorem) Let  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  be an inner product space over the field  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that  $f : V \to F$  is a linear map (usually called linear functional). There exists a unique  $y \in V$  such that  $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ for any  $x \in V$ .

**Proof.** Existence. If  $f = 0$ , we just choose  $y = 0$ . Otherwise, the complement  $(\ker f)^{\perp}$  is of dimension one. Choose v to be a unit vector of  $(\ker f)^{\perp}$  and let  $y = f(v)v$ . For any  $x \in V$ , we have  $x = x_1 + a_1v$  for some  $x_1 \in \text{ker } f$  and  $a_1 \in F$ . Therefore,  $f(x) = a_1 f(v) = \langle x, f(v)v \rangle.$ 

Uniqueness. If there are two vectors  $y_1, y_2$  both satisfying  $\langle x, y_1 \rangle = \langle x, y_2 \rangle$ for any  $x \in V$ . Then  $\langle x, y_1 - y_2 \rangle = 0$ , imply  $y_1 = y_2$  by choosing  $x = y_1 - y_2$ .

**Corollary 17** Let  $f: V \to W$  be a linear map between two inner product spaces  $(V, \langle, \rangle_V)$ ,  $(W, \langle, \rangle_W)$ . There exits a unique linear map  $f^*: W \to V$  such that

$$
\langle f(x), y \rangle_W = \langle x, f^*(y) \rangle_V
$$

for any  $x \in V, y \in W$ . The function  $f^*$  is called the adjoint of f.

**Proof.** Existence. Fix any  $y \in W$ , the function  $\langle f(-), y \rangle_W : V \to F$  is a linear functional. The Riesz representation theorem implies that there is a unique element  $z \in V$  satisfying  $\langle f(-), y \rangle_W = \langle x, z \rangle_V$ . Define  $f^*(y) = z$ .

Uniqueness. If there is another  $g^*$  satisfying  $\langle f(x), y \rangle_W = \langle x, f^*(y) \rangle_V =$  $\langle x, g^*(y) \rangle_V$  for any  $x, y$ , we must have  $\langle x, f^*(y) - g^*(y) \rangle_V = 0$  which implies that  $f^*(y) = g^*(y)$ .

**Example 18** Consider the dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We have the adjoint of a real matrix A is its transpose  $A^T$  and the adjoint of a complex matrix  $A$  is its conjugate transpose. (hint: in this case,  $\langle Ax, y \rangle = x^* A^* y = \langle x, A^* y \rangle.$ 

The following is general version of Lemma 10.

**Lemma 19** Let  $f: V \to W$  be a linear map between two inner product spaces. We have the following:

1)  $(f^*)^* = f$ . 2)  $(\ker f)^{\perp} = \text{Im } f^*$ .

#### 3 Inner product spaces and isometries

**Definition 20** A linear map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  between inner product spaces is distance-preserving (or isometric) if  $||f(x)||= ||x||$  for any  $x \in V$ .

**Lemma 21** A linear map  $f: V \to W$  is distance-preserving if and only if  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for any  $x, y \in V$ .

**Lemma 22** Let  $V$  be a vector space together with an inner product defined by  $\langle x, y \rangle = x^*Py$  for a matrix P. A linear map  $f: V \to V$  is isometric if and only if  $A^*PA = P$ , where A is the standard representation matrix of f.

**Proof.** Note that  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  if and only  $(Ax)^* P A y = x^* A^* P A y =$  $x^*Py$ . Choose  $x, y \in \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ , the standard basis.

**Corollary 23** A complex matrix  $A_{n \times n}$  preserves the standard distance on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ if and only if  $A^*A = I_n$ , i.e. A is unitary.

#### 4 Inner products and norms

**Definition 24** A normed vector space is a vector space V (over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) toqether with a function (called a norm):  $\| \|: V \to \mathbb{R}$  sastisfying

1) Homogeneity:  $\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \|v\|$  for any for all vectors v and all scalars  $\alpha$ ;

2) Triangle inequality:  $\|x + y\| \le \|x\| + \|y\|$  for any  $x, y \in V$ ;

3) positivity:  $||x|| \ge 0$  for any vector x, and  $||x|| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

It is obvious that an inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  gives a norm  $||x|| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ . But not every norm is from an inner product.

**Example 25** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For any  $x \in V$ , define  $||x||_p = (|x_1|^p + |x_2|^p +$  $\cdots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p}$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$  and  $||x||_{\infty} = \max\{|x_i| : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}.$ 

**Example 26** Let  $V = C[0, 1]$  be the vector space of continuous functions on the closed interval [0, 1]. Define  $||f||_p = (\int_0^1 |f|^p dx)^{1/p}$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

Theorem 27 A norm in a normed space is obtained from some inner product if and only if it satisfies the Parallelogram Identity

$$
||x + y||^2 + ||x - y||^2 = 2(||x||^2 + ||y||^2)
$$

for any  $x, y \in V$ .

**Proof.** When the norm comes from an inner product,  $||x + y||^2 + ||x - y||^2 =$  $\langle x+y,x+y\rangle + \langle x-y,x-y\rangle = 2(||x||^2 + ||y||^2).$ 

Conversely, for real vector spaces we define  $\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\Vert x + y \Vert^2 - \Vert x - y \Vert^2)$ (called Polarization identities). We check the conditions of an inner product. It is obvious that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ , and  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0, \langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ . It is enough to prove that  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is bilinear. By the parallelogram law we have

$$
2||x+z||2 + 2||y||2 = ||x + y + z||2 + ||x - y + z||2.
$$

Therefore,

$$
||x + y + z||^{2} = 2||x + z||^{2} + 2||y||^{2} - ||x - y + z||^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2||y + z||^{2} + 2||x||^{2} - ||y - x + z||^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
||x + y + z||^{2} = ||x||^{2} + ||y||^{2} + ||x + z||^{2} + ||y + z||^{2} - \frac{1}{2}||x - y + z||^{2} - \frac{1}{2}||y - x + z||^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
||x + y - z||^{2} = ||x||^{2} + ||y||^{2} + ||x - z||^{2} + ||y - z||^{2} - \frac{1}{2}||x - y - z||^{2} - \frac{1}{2}||y - x - z||^{2}
$$

:

:

$$
\langle x+y,z\rangle = \frac{1}{4} (||x+y+z||^2 - ||x+y-z||^2)
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{4} (||x+z||^2 - ||x-z||^2) + \frac{1}{4} (||y+z||^2 - ||y-z||^2)$   
=  $\langle x,z\rangle + \langle y,z\rangle$ 

Inductively, we have  $\langle nx, z \rangle = n \langle x, z \rangle$  for each integer n. Similarly, we have  $\langle x,z\rangle = \langle n\frac{1}{n}x,z\rangle = n\langle \frac{1}{n}x,z\rangle$  and  $\langle \frac{1}{n}x,z\rangle = \frac{1}{n}\langle x,z\rangle$  for each nonzero n. This actually means for any rational number  $q = \frac{m}{n}$  we have  $\langle qx, z \rangle = \langle \frac{m}{n} x, z \rangle =$  $q(x, z)$ . Note that  $t \to \frac{1}{t} \langle tx, z \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since every real number is a limit of a rational sequence, we have that  $\langle rx, z \rangle = r\langle x, z \rangle$  for every rational number r:

For complex vector spaces, define

$$
\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|^2 + \mathbf{i}\|\mathbf{i}x + y\|^2 - \| - x + y\|^2 - \mathbf{i}\| - \mathbf{i}x + y\|^2)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{3} \mathbf{i}^k \|\mathbf{i}^k x + y\|^2.
$$

It's obvious that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$ . A similar argument proves the bilinear property of the real and imaginary parts.

**Corollary 28** For  $p \neq 1$ , the norm  $\| - \|_p$  does not come from an inner product since the Parallelogram identity does not hold. Let  $e_1, e_2$  be elements of the standard basis. We have

$$
2(\|e_1\|_p^2 + \|e_2\|_p^2) = 2 \neq \|e_1 + e_2\|_p^2 + \|e_1 - e_2\|_p^2 = 2^{2/p} + 2^{2/p}.
$$

# Lecture 3: Symmetric matrices and quadratic forms

Shengkui Ye

March 20, 2023

#### 1 Symmetric matrices

A square matrix A is symmetric if  $A = A^T$ . For example,  $A =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Lemma 1.1** If A is symmetric, then any two eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal. In other words, if  $Ax_1 = \lambda_1 x_1$  and  $Ax_2 =$  $\lambda_2 x_2$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  then  $x_1 \circ x_2 = 0$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $x_2^T \lambda_1 x_1 = x_2^T (Ax_1) = x_2^T A^T x_1 = (Ax_2)^T x_1 = \lambda_2 x_2^T x_1$ , which implies  $x_2^T x_1 = 0$  since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ .

An  $n \times n$  matrix A is said to be orthogonal diagonalizable if there is an orthogonal matrix P (i.e.  $P^{-1} = P^{T}$ ) such that  $P^{-1}AP$  is diagonal.

**Lemma 1.2** An  $n \times n$  symmetric (real) matrix A has n real eigenvalues, counting multiplicities. For each eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , there is a real eigenvector x corresponding to it.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $Ax = \lambda x$  for a complex value  $\lambda$  and a complex vector x. Let  $x^*$  be the complex conjugate transpose. Then  $x^*Ax = x^*\lambda x = \lambda ||x||^2$ , but  $x^*Ax = (Ax)^*x = (\lambda x)^*x = \lambda^*x^*x$ . This implies  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  and thus  $\lambda$  is real. The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra proves that A has  $n$  eigenvalues and thus the symmetric matrix A has n real eigenvalues. Since  $A - \lambda I$  has determinant zero,  $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$  has a nonzero solution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Spectral theorem) An  $n \times n$  matrix A is orthogonal diagonalizable if and only if A is symmetric.

**Proof.** If there exists orthogonal matrix  $P$  and diagonal matrix  $D$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = D$ , then  $A = PDP^{-1} = PDP^{T}$  is symmetric.

The othe direction can be proved by induction. When  $n = 1$ , there is nothing to prove. Suppose the statement is true for  $n-1$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a real eigenvalue of A, with a unit real eigenvector vector  $x$  (the existence follows the previous lemma). Extend x to be a basis B of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and apply the Gram-Schmidt process to get an orthonormal basis  $B = \{x_1 = x, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ . Let  $P_1 = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$  and  $C = P_1^{-1}AP_1$ . Note that the first column of C is  $[\lambda, 0, 0, \cdots, 0]^T$ . Moreover C is symmetric, since  $P_1$  is orthogonal. Therefore, the first row of C is  $[\lambda, 0, 0, \cdots, 0].$ Write

$$
C=\begin{bmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&C_1\end{bmatrix}
$$

for a symmetric matrix  $C_1$ . The induction step implies that there exists orthogonal matrix  $P_2$  such that  $P_2^{-1}C_1P_2$  is diagonal. Therefore, we take  $P =$  $P_1$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $0$   $P_2$ Ĭ. such that  $P^{-1}AP$  is diagonal.  $\sqrt{2}$  $3 -2 4$ 3

Example 1.4 Let  $A =$ 4  $-2$  6 2 4 2 3  $\vert$  . Find the orthogonal diagonalization if

exits.

When A is symmetric, there is an orthogonal matrix P such that  $P^{-1}AP =$ D, a diagonal matrix. Suppose that  $P = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n]$ . Then  $AP = PD$  and thus  $[Au_1, Au_2, \cdots, Au_n] = [d_1u_1, d_2u_2, \cdots, d_nu_n]$  where  $d_i$  is the *i*-th diagonal entry of D. Since  $Au_i = d_iu_i$  for each i, we know that  $d_i$  is an eigenvalue and  $u_i$  is the corresponding eigenvector. Moreover,  $A = PDP^{-1} = PDP^{T} =$  $[d_1u_1, d_2u_2, \cdots, d_nu_n][u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n]^T = d_1u_1u_1^T + d_2u_2u_2^T + \cdots + d_nu_nu_n^T$ . This sum is called the spectral decomposition of A.

#### 2 Applications: Quadratic forms

**Definition 2.1** A quadratic form Q is function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Q(x) =$  $x^T A x$  for a symmetric matrix A. In other words,  $Q(x)$  is a degree-two homogenous polynomial.

Example 2.2  $Q(x) = 3x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 = [x_1, x_2]$  3 4  $\lceil x_1 \rceil$  $\overline{x_2}$ 1 is a quadratic form.

**Example 2.3** Write  $Q(x) = x_1x_2 + x_2^2$  as the form  $x^T Ax$  for some symmetric matrix A:

**Example 2.4** Let  $Q(x) = x^T A x$  be a quadratic form. For an invertible matrix P, let  $y = P^{-1}x$ . Then  $x = Py$  and  $Q(x) = y^T P^T A P y$  is another quadratic form of y, which is called a change of variable.

For a general degree-two homogenous polynomial  $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_i x_j$ , is there a canonical form after change of variables? If there is such one, how to reduce  $Q(x)$  to the canonical form?

**Lemma 2.5** Any quadratic form  $Q(x) = x^T A x$  could be transformed to the diagonal form. In other words, there exists an orthogonal matrix P such that  $x = Py$  and

$$
Q(x) = y^T (P^T A P) y = a_1 y_1^2 + a_2 y_2^2 + \dots + a_n y_n^2
$$

for some real numbers  $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to note that  $P^{T}AP$  could be diagonal for some orthogonal matrix  $P$ .

**Example 2.6** Let  $Q(x) = x_1^2 - 8x_1x_2 - 5x_2^2$ . Reduce  $Q(x)$  to be the canonical form by change of variables.

**Definition 2.7** A quadratic form  $Q(x) = x^T A x$  (or the coefficient matrix A) is

- a) positive definite if  $Q(x) > 0$  for any  $x \neq 0$ ;
- b) negative definite if  $Q(x) < 0$  for any  $x \neq 0$ ;
- c) indefinite if  $Q(x)$  assumes both positive and negative values.
- d) positive semi-definite if  $Q(x) \geq 0$  for any x.

**Example 2.8** Suppose that  $Q(x) = x^T A x$  for a symmetric matrix A. If all eigenvalues of  $A$  are positive, then  $Q$  is positive definite. Similarly, if all the eigenvalues are negative, then  $Q$  is negative definite.

**Corollary 2.9** A symmetric matrix  $A$  is positive semi-definite (resp. definite) if and only if  $A = R^T R$  for a (resp. invertible) matrix R.

**Proof.** For any x, we have  $x^T A x = x^T R^T R x = \langle Rx, Rx \rangle \geq 0$ . When R is invertible,  $\langle Rx, Rx \rangle = 0$  if and only  $x = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.10** Let  $A_{n \times n}$  be a positive definite matrix. Define  $\langle x, y \rangle := x^T A y$ . Then  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** It's easy to check that  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is symmetric (as A is symmetric) and bilinear. When A is positive definite,  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and only  $x=0.$ 

#### 3 Applications: Quadratic curves

In high school, we already studied three kinds of curves: ellipse, hyperbola, parabola. These curves are deÖned by two-variable degree-two polynomials. It turns out that these are the only three cases (in a genuine sense).

**Definition 3.1** A quadratic curves is a plane curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined by a degreetwo two-variable polynomial

$$
ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + f = 0,
$$
\n(1)

where  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Theorem 3.2 Any quadratic curve is one of the following:

1) ellipse; 2) hyperbola; 3) parabola; 4) intersecting lines; 5) parallel lines, or 6) a single point.

Proof. Write

$$
ax^{2} + bxy + cy^{2} + dx + ey + f
$$
  
=  $(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} d \\ e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + f.$ 

Since  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$  $b/2$  c 1 is symmetric, there is an orthogonal matrix  $P$  such that

$$
\begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix} = P^T \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} P
$$

for some real numbers  $d_1, d_2$ . Change the variables by letting  $\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix}$  $y^{\prime}$ <sup>1</sup>  $=$   $\overline{P}$  $\lceil x \rceil$  $\hat{y}$ l. : The equation (1) becames

$$
d_1x'^2 + d_2x'^2 + d'x' + e'y' + f' = 0.
$$
 (2)

Since the polynomial is still of degree 2, we may assume that  $d_1 \neq 0$ . If  $d_2 \neq 0$ , the previous equation (2) can be written as

$$
d_1(x' + a_1)^2 + d_2(y' + a_2)^2 + f'' = 0
$$

for some real coefficients. Change the variables again by letting  $x' + a_1 =$  $x'', y' + a_2 = y''.$  We have

$$
d_1 x''^2 + d_2 y''^2 = g \tag{3}
$$

for some real numbers  $d_1, d_2, g$ . After exchanging  $x'', y''$  and the sign of  $d_1$ , we can assume that  $d_1 > 0$ .

- Case 1)  $d_2 > 0$ . If  $g > 0$ , the equation (3) gives an ellipse. If  $g = 0$ , the equation (3) gives a point. If  $g < 0$ , the equation (\*\*) does not have real solutions (or imaginary ellipse).
- Case 2)  $d_2 < 0$ . If  $g \neq 0$ , the equation (3) gives a hyperbola. If  $g = 0$ , the equation (3) gives intersecting of two lines.

Case 3)  $d_2 = 0$ . The equation (2) can be written as

$$
d_1(x'+a_1)^2 + e'y' + f'' = 0.
$$
 (4)

If  $e' \neq 0$ , we have  $d_1x''^2 + e'y'' = 0$ , for some  $x'' = x' + a'$ ,  $y'' = x'' + b''$ , which gives a parabola. Suppose that  $e' = 0$ . If  $f'' < 0$ , the equation (4) gives a pair of parrell lines. If  $f'' > 0$ , the equation (4) has no real solutions (or a imaginary circle). If  $f'' = 0$ , the equation (4) actually is a single point.

 $\blacksquare$ 

Remark 3.3 Ellipse, hyperbola and parabola are called non-degenerate quadratic curves, while the intersecting curves, parallel lines, and a single point are called degenerated quadratic curves.

**Example 3.4** Determine the type of the quadratic curve  $x^2 + xy + y^2 + x + 1 = 0$ .

### 4 Applications: extreme values and singular values

**Theorem 4.1** Let A be a symmetric matrix with an orthogonal diagonalization  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , with the diagonal entries of D arranged as  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ , and P is an orthogonal matrix. Then

$$
\lambda_1 = \max_{\|x\|=1} x^T A x, \lambda_n = \min_{\|x\|=1} x^T A x,
$$

with the extreme values are achived when x are the corresponding eigenvectors.

**Proof.** Let  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T = P^T x$ . When  $||x|| = 1$ , we have  $||y|| = 1$ . Note that

$$
x^T A x = x^T P D P^{-1} x^T = (P^T x)^T D P^T x = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2
$$
  
 
$$
\leq \lambda_1 (y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + y_n^2) = \lambda_1.
$$

The maximum is achived when  $y = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$  and  $x = Py$ , an eigenvalue corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ . Similarly,  $x^T A x \geq \lambda_n (y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$ , with the mimumn is achived when  $y = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$  and  $x = Py$ , an eigenvalue corresponding to  $\lambda_n$ .

**Definition 4.2** Let  $A_{m \times n}$  be a matrix. A singular value  $\sigma_i$  of A is the square root of an eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $A^T A$ , i.e.  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i (A^T A)}$ .

Note that  $A^T A$  is symmetric and positive semi-definite. There is an orthogonal diagonalization  $A^T A = P D P^{-1}$ . Let  $P_i$  be a column of P, ie. an eigenvector. Then  $P_i^T A^T A P_i = \lambda_i P_i^T P_i$ , which implies that  $||A P_i|| = \sigma_i$ . View A as a linear map  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , with  $\{P_1, ..., P_n\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The singular value  $\sigma_i$  is the length  $||AP_i||$ .

**Lemma 4.3** Suppose that the eigenvalues of  $A^T A$  are  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \lambda_k >$  $\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+2} = \cdots = 0$ , with corresponding eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ . Then  $\{Av_1, Av_2, \cdots, Av_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $Col(A)$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$  form an orthogonal basis of  $R^n$ . This means  $Col(A)$  is spanned by  $\{Av_1, Av_2, ..., Av_n\}$ . But  $Av_{k+1} = 0 = Av_{k+2} = ...$  $Av_n$ . Moreover,  $Av_i \circ Av_j = v_i^T A^T Av_j = 0$ ,  $Av_i \circ Av_i = \lambda_i ||v_i||^2$  for any  $i \neq j \leq k$ . Therefore,  $\{Av_1, Av_2, \cdots, Av_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis.

**Theorem 4.4** (singular value decomposition) Let  $A_{m \times n}$  be a matrix of rank r. There exist a diagonal matrix  $D_{r \times r}$  (with diagonal entries the singular values of A) and orthogonal matrices  $U_{m \times m}$ ,  $V_{n \times n}$  such that

$$
A = U \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} V^T.
$$

**Proof.** As in the previous lemma, let  $\lambda_i$  and  $v_i$  be the eigenvalues and eigenvectors (with  $||v_i|| = 1$ ) of  $A^T A$ . Let  $u_i = \frac{Av_i}{||Av_i||}$ ,  $i \leq r$ . Extend  $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_r\}$  to be an orthonormal basis  $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_r, u_{r+1}, ..., u_m\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Take  $U = [u_1, u_2, ..., u_m]$ and  $V = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]$ . It can be directly checked that

$$
A[v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n] = [Av_1, Av_2, \cdots, Av_n]
$$
  
=  $[\sigma_1 u_1, \sigma_2 u_2, \cdots, \sigma_r u_r, 0, \cdots, 0]$   
=  $U \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

The result is proved by noting that  $V^{-1} = V^T$ .

**Example 4.5** Find the singular value decomposition (SVD) of  $A =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Example 4.6 Let  $A =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , viewed as a linear map  $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . Find a unit vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $||Av||$  is the maximum.

## Lecture 4: Symmetric matrices and quadratic forms

Shengkui Ye

April 17, 2023

#### 1 Self-adjoint operators

Recall that a self-adjoint operator is a linear map  $f: V \to V$  on an inner product space satisfying  $f = f^*$ , i.e.  $\langle f(x), y \rangle = \langle x, f(y) \rangle$  for any  $x, y \in V$ . This is a generalization of a symmetric matrix. Many properties on symmetric matrices are still true for self-adjoint operators.

**Lemma 1.1** Let  $f = f^*$  be a self-adjoint operator in an inner product space V. We have the following:

1) all eigenvalues of f are real;

2) eigenvectors from distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal;

**Proof.** Suppose that the inner product is represented by  $A$  and  $B$  is the standard matrix of f. We have  $B^*A = AB$ . Suppose that  $Bx = \lambda x$  for a complex value  $\lambda$  and a complex vector x. Then  $x^*ABx = x^*A\lambda x = \lambda ||x||^2$ , but  $x^*ABx = (Bx)^*Ax = (\lambda x)^*Ax = \lambda^*x^*Ax$ . This implies  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  and thus  $\lambda$  is real.

Suppose that  $Bx_1 = \lambda_1x_1, Bx_2 = \lambda_2x_2$  for  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  and eigenvectors  $x_1, x_2$ . We have  $\langle x_2, Bx_1 \rangle = \langle x_2, \lambda_1 x_1 \rangle = \langle Bx_2, x_1 \rangle = \lambda_2 \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle$ , which implies  $x_2^T x_1 =$ 0 since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (spectral theorem) Let  $f = f^*$  be a self-adjoint operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space V over a field  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . There exists an orthonormal basis on which the representation matrix of  $f$  is a real diagonal matrix. In particular, for any Hermitian (or self-adjoint) matrix A; there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that  $A = UDU^*$ .

**Proof.** Consider the characteristic polynomial of  $f$ . Over the complex numbers  $\mathbb C$ , there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , which is actually real since f is self-adjoint. Choose a unit eigenvector  $v_1$ , i.e.  $f(v_1) = \lambda_1 v_1$ . The orthogonal complement  $(Fv_1)^{\perp}$ is invariant under the transformation by  $f(\forall x \in (Fv_1)^{\perp})$ , we have  $\langle v_1, fx \rangle =$  $\langle f^*v_1, x \rangle = \langle fv_1, x \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle v_1, x \rangle = 0$ . We repeat the argument to choose another eigenvector  $v_2 \in (F v_1)^{\perp}$ . After finitely many steps, we get an orthogonal basis  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  on which the representation matrix of f is real diagonal.

The complex case can be proved as following. Schur's theorem implies that there is an orthogonal basis on which the representation matrix of  $f$  is an upper triangular matrix, i.e.  $f = URU^{-1}$  for an upper triangular matrix (here we denote  $f$  as its standard representation matrix). Suppose that the inner product is represented by a matrix A: Note that a self-adjoint upper triangular matrix must be diagonal with real entries. Actually, we have  $(fx)^*Ay = x^*Afy$ and  $f^*A = Af, (U^{-1})^*R^*U^*A = AURU^{-1}, R^*U^*AU = U^*AUR$ , (noting that  $U^*AU = I_n$ , implying  $R^* = R$  and R must be diagonal.

Recall that a square real matrix  $A$  is orthogonal diagonalizable if and only if A is symmetric. Can we have a similar result for unitary matrices? We already know that a self-adjoint matrix is diagonalizable by a unitary matrix. It turns out that the converse is not true.

**Definition 1.3** A linear map (or matrix)  $N: V \rightarrow V$  on an inner product space V is normal, if  $NN^* = N^*N$ .

Example 1.4 A self-adjoint matrix is normal. An orthgonal (or unitary) matrix is normal. A unitary diagonalizable matrix is normal. Unitary conjugates of a normal matrix is normal.

**Lemma 1.5** A linear map (or matrix)  $N: V \to V$  is normal if and only if

$$
\parallel Nx\parallel=\parallel N^*x\parallel, \text{ for any } x\in V.
$$

**Proof.** If N is normal, we have  $||Nx||^2 = \langle Nx, Nx \rangle = \langle x, N^*Nx \rangle = \langle x, NN^*x \rangle =$  $\langle N^*x, N^*x \rangle = ||N^*x||^2$  for any x.

Conversely, the Polarization Identities imply for any  $x, y \in V$  that

$$
\langle N^*Nx, y \rangle = \langle Nx, Ny \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathbf{i}^k \parallel Nx + \mathbf{i}^kNy \parallel
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathbf{i}^k \parallel N(x + \mathbf{i}^k y) \parallel
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathbf{i}^k \parallel N^*(x + \mathbf{i}^k y) \parallel
$$

$$
= \langle N^*x, N^*y \rangle = \langle NN^*x, y \rangle
$$

and thus  $N^*N = NN^*$ .

Theorem 1.6 Any normal linear map in a complex vector space has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors. In particular, a complex matrix is unitary diagonalizable if and only if it is normal.

**Proof.** Schur's theorem implies that there is an orthogonal basis on which the representation matrix of  $f$  is an upper triangular matrix  $A$ . It is enough to prove that an upper triangular normal matrix must be diagonal. Suppose that

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & * \\ 0 & A' \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Since  $AA^* = A^*A$ , the (1,1)-th entries are  $\bar{a}_{11}a_{11} = a_{11}\bar{a}_{11} + a_{12}\bar{a}_{12} + \cdots$  $a_{1n}\bar{a}_{1n}$ . This gives that  $a_{12} = a_{13} = ... = a_{1n} = 0$ . Repeat this argument to prove that A is diagonal.

We already know that a unitary diagonalizable matrix is normal. The converse is proved by choosing the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

#### 2 Polar and singular decomposition

**Definition 2.1** A self-adjoint linear map  $f: V \to V$  on an inner product space  $V$  is called positive definite if

$$
\langle fx, x \rangle > 0, \forall x \neq 0.
$$

Similarly, f is called positive semi-definite if

 $\langle fx, x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in V.$ 

**Example 2.2** For any complex matrix  $B_{m \times n}$ , the product  $B^*B$  is positive semidefinite, since  $\langle B^*Bx, x \rangle = \langle Bx, Bx \rangle \ge 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

Theorem 2.3 For a self-adjoint linear map f, we have the following.

1) f is positive definite if and only if the eigenvalues of f are positive.

2)  $f$  is positive semi-definite if and only if the eigenvalues of  $f$  are nonnegative.

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, there is an orthonormal basis on which the representation matrix of  $f$  is diagonal. A diagonal matrix is positive definite if and only if the diagonal entries are positive.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Remark 2.4** It is interesting to note that the positive definiteness of a selfadjoint linear map f depends only on its eigenvalues, independent of the basis and the inner product.

**Corollary 2.5** Let  $A$  be a positive semidefinite operator. There exists a unique positive semi-definite operator B such that  $A = B^2$ . We denote  $B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{A}$ .

**Proof.** Existence. There is a basis  $S$  on which  $A$  is diagonal with positive diagonal entries  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \lambda_n \geq 0$ . Define B as the the linear map whose representation matrix on the basis is  $\sqrt{\lambda_1} \geq \sqrt{\lambda_2} \geq ... \sqrt{\lambda_n} \geq 0$ .

Uniqueness. Suppose that  $A = C^2$  for a self-adjoint positive semi-definite matrix C. Choose an orthogonal basis  $S'$  on which C is diagonal with diagonal entries  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \ldots \mu_n \geq 0$ . Then A has eigenvalues  $\mu_1^2 \geq \mu_2^2 \geq \ldots \mu_n^2 \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $Ax = \lambda x$  if and only if  $Cx = \sqrt{\lambda x}$ . Therefore,  $Bx = \sqrt{\lambda x}$  for any eigenvector x of A. This implies  $B = C$ .

**Lemma 2.6** For any linear map  $A: V \to V$  on an inner product space V. We have

$$
\|\sqrt{A^*A}x\| = \|Ax\|, \forall x \in V.
$$

**Proof.**  $\|\sqrt{A^*A}x\|^2 = \langle \sqrt{A^*A}x, \sqrt{A^*A}x \rangle = \langle x, A^*Ax \rangle = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = \|Ax\|^2.$ 

**Theorem 2.7** (Polar decomposition) For any linear map  $A: V \to V$  on an inner product space V: There is an unitary operator U such that

$$
A = U\sqrt{A^*A}.
$$

**Proof.** By the previous lemma, we have ker  $A = \ker \sqrt{A^*A} = \text{Im}(\sqrt{A^*A})^{*\perp} =$  $\text{Im}(\sqrt{A^*A})^{\perp}$  since  $\sqrt{A^*A}$  is self-adjoint. We will define U explicitly by specifying its image on  $\text{Im}(\sqrt{A^*A}) \bigoplus \text{ker } A = V$ . For any  $x \in \text{Im}(\sqrt{A^*A})$ , choose  $y \in V$ such that  $\sqrt{A^*Ay} = x$ . Define  $U_1$  :  $\lim_{x \to \infty} (\sqrt{A^*A}) \to \lim_{x \to \infty} A$  by  $Ux = Ay$ . If another y' has  $\sqrt{A^*A}y' = x$ , we have  $\sqrt{A^*A}(y - y') = 0$  and  $y - y' \in \text{ker } A$ . This checks that U is well-defined on  $\text{Im}(\sqrt{A^*A})$ . Note that  $\text{Im} A = (\text{ker } A^*)^{\perp}$ . Since the subspace ker A is isomorphic to ker  $A^*$  (by the rank theorem), we can choose an isometry  $U_2$ : ker  $A \to \ker A^* = (\operatorname{Im} A)^{\perp}$ . It can be directly checked that  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$  is unitary and  $A = U\sqrt{A^*A}$ .

The following is a general singular value decomposition.

**Theorem 2.8** For any linear map  $A: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  between inner product spaces  $V_1, V_2$ . There exists orthonormal base  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_m\}$  for  $V_1$  and  $\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$ for  $V_2$ , such that the representation matrix A is diagonal with diagonal entries the singular values of A. In other words,

$$
A = [w_1, ..., w_n]D[v_1, v_2, ..., w_m].
$$

#### 3 Matrix norms

Let  $A_{n \times m} : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$  be a complex matrix.

**Definition 3.1** The real number  $\sup\{\|Ax\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is called the operator norm of A and denoted as  $||A||$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $M_{n\times m}(\mathbb{C})$  be the vector space of all  $n \times m$  matrices. We have the following.

**Lemma 3.3** 1)  $(M_{n\times m}(\mathbb{C}), || - ||)$  is a normed space;

2)  $||Ax|| \le ||A|| ||x||$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ;

3)  $||AB|| \le ||A|| ||B||$  if AB can be defined;

3)  $||A|| = s_1 \le ||A||_2 = trace(A^*A) = \sum s_i^2$ , where  $s_i$ 's are the singular values.

**Proof.** 1) The conditions for a normed space can be checked directly. 2) It's obvious that  $||A0|| = 0$ . For nonzero x, we have  $||Ax|| = ||A \frac{x}{||x||} ||x|| || =$  $||A\frac{x}{||x||}|| ||x|| \le ||A|| ||x||.$  3) Note that  $\sup\{||Ax|| : ||x|| \le 1\} = ||Ax_0||$  for some  $x_0 \in \{x : ||x|| \leq 1\}$  (a continuous function can achieve its supremum on a compact set). Suppose that  $||AB|| = ||ABx_0||$ . By 2), we have  $||ABx_0|| \le$  $||A|| ||Bx_0|| \le ||A|| ||B||$ . 4) follows the theorem of singular value decomposition.

### 4 Canonical forms of orthogonal matrices

**Theorem 4.1** Let A be an  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix.

1) If det  $A = 1$ , then A is orthogonal conjugate to

$$
\begin{bmatrix} R_{\phi_1} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & R_{\phi_k} & \\ & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix}
$$

where  $R_{\phi_i} =$  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi_i & -\sin \phi_i \end{bmatrix}$  $\sin \phi_i - \cos \phi_i$ Ĭ. is the rotation matrix of angle  $\phi_i$ . 2) If det  $A = -1$ , then A is orthgonal conjugate to



**Proof.** View A as a complex matrix. If  $Ax = \lambda x$  for a unit vector x, we have  $||Ax|| = ||\lambda x||$  implying  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Note that  $A\overline{x} = \overline{\lambda}\overline{x}$ . If  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ , write  $\lambda = \cos \phi + i \sin \phi$  and  $x = x_1 + ix_2$  for real vectors  $x_1, x_2$ . It can directly check that

$$
A[x_1, x_2] = [x_1, x_2] \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Note that  $\lambda \neq \overline{\lambda}$ , which implies  $x \perp \overline{x}$  and thus  $x_1^T x_1 = x_2^T x_2, x_1 \perp x_2$ . Moreover, the complement  $Span_{\mathbb{R}}\{x_1, x_2\}^{\perp}$  is invariant under A. If  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , we can choose a real eigenvector x and consider the complement  $Span_{\mathbb{R}}\{x_1, x_2\}^{\perp}$ . Note that the number of  $-1$  must be even when det  $A = 1$ , while the number is odd when det  $A = -1$ . An inductive argument finishes the proof after reordering the elements in the basis.  $\quad \blacksquare$ 

# Lecture 5 : Symmetric matrices and quadratic forms

Shengkui Ye

May 3, 2023

#### 1 Symmetric bilinear forms

**Definition 1.1** A symmetric bilinear form on a real vector space  $V$  over a field F is a function  $\langle , \rangle : V \times V \to F$  such that

- 1.  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$  for any  $v, u \in V$ ;
- 2.  $\langle v, a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 \rangle = a_1 \langle v, u_1 \rangle + a_2 \langle v, u_2 \rangle$  for any  $u_1, u_2, v \in V$  and any  $a_1, a_2 \in F;$

**Example 1.2** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ . The function

$$
\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 - x_3 y_3
$$

is a symmetric bilinear form.

**Lemma 1.3** A symmetric bilinear form  $\langle , \rangle : V \times V \to F$  can always be represented by  $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T A y$  for some symmetric matrix A.

For a quadratic form  $q(x) = x^T A x = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  $\frac{a_{ij}}{2}x_ix_j$ , we already know that for an orthogonal matrix P the new form  $q(Px) = x^T P^T A P x$  is a sum of squares. But for a change of variable  $y = Sx$  (for an invertible matrix S), we may still have  $q(Sx) = x^T S^T A S x$  a sum of squares. In this section, we will study some invariants of  $q(x)$  which depend only on A, not on S.

**Definition 1.4** Two square real matrices  $A, B$  are congruent if there is an invertible matrix S such that  $B = SAS^T$ . Similarly, we call two square complex matrices A, B congruent if there is an invertible matrix S such that  $B = SAS^*$ .

**Definition 1.5** For a Hermitian matrix A (i.e.  $A^* = A$ ), let  $n_+, n_-, n_0$  be the number of positive, negative, zero eigenvalues, respectively. We can the triple  $(n_+, n_-, n_0)$  the signature of A.

**Theorem 1.6** (Sylvester's law of inertia) Two Hermitian matrices  $A, B$  are congruent if and only if they have the same signature (i.e. they have the same number of of positive, negative, zero eigenvalues.)

**Proof.** Since  $A, B$  are Hermitian, there exist unitary matrices  $Q_1, Q_2$  such that  $Q_1 A Q_1^* = D_1, Q_2 B Q_2^* = D_2$  are both real diagonal matrices. After permutation of diagonal elements and changing the absolute values, we see that  $D_1, D_2$  are congruent, which implies that  $A, B$  are congruent.

Suppose that  $B = SAS^*$  for an invertible matrix S. Since A is Hermitian, there is a unitary matrix U such that  $A = UDU^*$  for a real diagonal matrix D. Then  $B = SUDU^*S^*$ . We claim that  $n_+(B) = \max\{\dim V : V < F^n \text{ is a }$ subspace on which B is positive definite). Actually,  $B = V D^{\prime} V^*$  for a unitary matrix V and a real diagonal matrix  $D'$ . Let V be the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors corresponding to the positive eigenvalues of  $D'$  (and  $B$ ). We see that  $B$  is positive definite o V. If W is a subspace on which  $B$  is positive definite with the maximal dim  $W$ , we know that the orthogonal complement  $W^{\perp}$  is B-invariant (for any  $x \in W, y \in W^{\perp}$ , we have  $\langle x, By \rangle = \langle B^*x, y \rangle = 0$ ). Since B has positive eigenvalues on W, this shows dim  $W \leq n_{+}$ . Note that  $n_{+}(B) = n_{+}(D) = n_{+}(A)$ . Similarly, we have  $n_{-}(B) = n_{-}(A), n_{0}(B) = n_{0}(A)$ .

**Corollary 1.7** The maximal dimension of a positive definite subspace for quadratic form  $q(x) = x^T A x$  is  $n_+$ .

#### 2 Dual space

The following is a generalization of orthogonal complement.

**Definition 2.1** Let V be a vector over a field F. Its dual space is  $V^* = \{f \mid f :$  $V \rightarrow F$  is linear.

**Exercise 2.2** Check that  $V^*$  is a vector space over F.

**Example 2.3** Let  $V = C[0, 1]$  be the vector space of continuous functions. The integration  $\int_0^1$  is a linear functional, i.e. a linear map from V to R.

**Lemma 2.4** Let V be a vector space. We have  $V \cong (V^*)^*$ , i.e. the dual of the dual of  $V$  is isomorphic to  $V$ .

**Definition 2.5** A bilinear form  $x^T A y$  is non-degenerated if A is invertible.

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $\langle , \rangle : V \times V \to F$  be a symmetric bilinear form. The following are equivalent.

1)  $\langle , \rangle$  is non-degenerated.

2) The map  $V \to V^*$ ,

 $x \longmapsto \langle -, x \rangle$ 

is isomorphic of vector spacs. Here  $\langle -, x \rangle$  is a linear function  $y \mapsto \langle y, x \rangle$  for any  $y \in V$ .